## TLDR:

We noticed an integer overflow vulnerability in dstring.c that leads to out-of-bounds heap overwrite. We developed a poc exploit based on <a href="https://example.com/house-of-muney">house-of-muney</a> technique to demonstrate that this vulnerability can lead to arbitrary code execution.

## Vulnerability:

The found integer overflow bug is at line 78 in *dstring.c*, where *strsize*\*2+2 can be overflowed to a negative value. When it is passed into *ds\_resize*, no new memory reallocation would be triggered for the dynamic string and thus would cause out-of-bounds overwrite later at line 81.

```
62
   ds_fgetstr (FILE *f, dynamic_string *s, char eos)
63 □ {
      int insize;
                           /* Amount needed for line.
64
                          /* Amount allocated for S.
65
      int strsize;
66
     int next ch;
67
68
      /* Initialize. */
     insize = 0;
69
70
     strsize = s->ds_length;
71
      /* Read the input string. */
72
73
      next_ch = getc (f);
74
      while (next_ch != eos && next_ch != EOF)
75 🗀
76
          if (insize >= strsize - 1)
77 =
78
          ds_resize (s, strsize * 2 + 2);
79
          strsize = s->ds_length;
80
81
          s->ds_string[insize++] = next_ch;
82
          next_ch = getc (f);
83
84
      s->ds_string[insize++] = '\0';
85
86
     if (insize == 1 && next_ch == EOF)
87
        return NULL;
88
     else
89
        return s->ds_string;
```

The vulnerable function *ds\_fgetstr* is called at multiple places, together with its wrapper *ds\_fgets*.

The references to ds fgetstr and ds fgets:

- Line 68 in copyin.c (ds fgets)
- Line 72 in copyin.c (ds fgetstr)
- Line 801 in copyin.c (ds\_fgetstr)
- Line 639 in copyout.c (ds fgetstr)
- Line 92 in copypass.c (ds\_fgetstr)
- Line 904 in util.c (ds\_fgets)

## Impacts:

Our POC exploited the *ds\_fgetstr* call at line 801 in *read\_pattern\_file* function from copyin.c. The function is invoked from *process\_copy\_in* when *-E pattern\_filename* is provided from command line.

```
781 static void
782 read_pattern_file ()
783 □ {
784
       int max new patterns;
785
       char **new_save_patterns;
786
       int new_num_patterns;
787
       int i:
788
       dynamic string pattern name;
       FILE *pattern fp;
789
790
791
      if (num_patterns < 0)</pre>
792
         num_patterns = 0;
793
       max_new_patterns = 1 + num_patterns;
       new_save_patterns = (char **) xmalloc (max_new_patterns * sizeof (char *));
794
795
       new_num_patterns = num_patterns;
796
       ds_init (&pattern_name, 128);
797
798
       pattern fp = fopen (pattern file name, "r");
       if (pattern fp == NULL)
799
800
         open_fatal (pattern_file_name);
801
       while (ds_fgetstr (pattern_fp, &pattern_name, '\n') != NULL)
802 🗏
803
           if (new num patterns >= max new patterns)
804 🖃
805
           max_new_patterns += 1;
806
           new_save_patterns = (char **)
             xrealloc ((char *) new save patterns,
807
808
             max_new_patterns * sizeof (char *));
809 -
810
           new_save_patterns[new_num_patterns] = xstrdup (pattern_name.ds_string);
811
           ++new num patterns;
812
       if (ferror (pattern_fp) | fclose (pattern_fp) == EOF)
813
         close_error (pattern_file_name);
814
815
816
       for (i = 0; i < num_patterns; ++i)</pre>
817
         new_save_patterns[i] = save_patterns[i];
818
819
       save_patterns = new_save_patterns;
820
       num_patterns = new_num_patterns;
821 L }
```

For demonstration, we have made a Kali Docker container in which we pop a shell on the latest *cpio* package, installed with *sudo apt install cpio*. We do this by overwriting the *chdir* symbol table entry with the information in *system*'s entry, and passing in the argument *-D /bin/bash* to the binary.

The reason that this is done in a Kali container and not an Ubuntu container is that Ubuntu's *cpio* is full RELRO, while Kali's is partial RELRO, but this vulnerability exists in both packages.

However, this exploit bypasses other binary protections, such as ASLR and stack canary, without any leaks. The exploit does require the attacker to know the correct libc version to produce the proper fake symbol table.

We also believe that other calls to  $ds\_fgetstr$  in cpio could potentially also lead to arbitrary code execution, and the integer overflow bug is worthy of being patched. Additionally, even if the input is not malicious, just over a gigabyte of input into  $ds\_fgetstr$  without proper memory layout feng shui will segfault the program.